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Abstract: In this paper we present a two level description of Tatar Language. Tatar is a Turkic language and the official language of Tataristan. It is spoken by millions of people mostly in the world. We describe the Tatar orthography using two level rules of Koskenvuo. These orthographic rules governing the phonology of the language during word formation is essential to morphological parsing and generation. We then represent the Tatar morphotactics using finite state machines. The FSMs for nominal and verbal morphotactics describe in detail how the words of the language can be formed. The orthographic rules and morphotactics are implemented in the Dilara Machine Translation Framework by encoding them in XML files in an language independent way.
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Introduction

Turkic languages are spoken by more than 200 million people in a vast geographic area stretching from Eastern Europe to China. Azerbaijan, Kazakh, Turkmen, Kyrgyz, Uzbek, Tatar, Uyghur dialects are among the most spoken languages after Turkish. All Turkic languages except Turkish are computationally resource poor languages. Computational linguistics studies on these languages are very scarce. Turkish morphology was studied by Oflazer [90]. Turkmen morphology by Maxim et al. [80], and Tantuk [81]. Azerbaijani by Ilyas [82].

Tatar belongs the Idel-Ural (Volga-Urals) region of Kipchak subgroup of Turkic Languages [83]. Tatar, more specifically Tatar Turkish or Qazan Tatar, is the official language of the Republic of Tatarstan in Russian Federation. Tatar is spoken by more than 5 million people in Russia. There are about 10 million Tatars in Central Asia, parts of Europe and Turkey. Today Tatar language has 3 dialects: Western, Eastern and Middle. The middle dialect is spoken by Qazan Tatars. Tatars had used Arabic script until first quarter of 20th century. Current Tatar alphabet is based on the Cyrillic alphabet with some additional letters.
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Turkic languages are agglutinative languages where many inflectional and derivational morphemes are attached to root to express syntactic and semantic information. These morphemes allow one to create potentially infinite number of words [94].

Tatar like other Turkic Languages is a resource poor language. Studies on Tatar morphology are virtually non-existent. Books and articles on this language is usually in Tatar or Russian, and not available in English [95]. In this study we aim to describe Tatar morphology from the computational linguistics perspective using two-level model. The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 the Tatar phonology will be described using two level orthographic rules. The orthographic rules describe the phonetic changes occurring when affixing morphemes to words. In section 3 Tatar morphotactics will be described from computational point of view using Finite State Machines. In section 4 conclusion and future work will be discussed.

Orthographic Rules of Tatar

Orthography specifies standardized path of writing system of the language. Orthography is produced by standardized orthographic rules, although sometimes includes ambiguities. These ambiguity is usually occurs in loanwords.

These two level rules are describes phonological events during word formation when morphemes are affixed to a stem or a root. The two levels are lexical and surface level of a word. Lexical level is a formulation of a morphological parsing of a word in a written text. In lexical level the root word and the sequence of morphemes affixed to are represented such as Noun + Plural + 1PersonPossessive. The surface level of a word is the word as it appears in the text. Parsing is the process of attaining of lexical level from the surface level of a word. The rules and meaning are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syntax</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a:b ⇒ le rc</td>
<td>Lexical a is realized as surface b, only when conversion’s left side equals to le and the right side equals to rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a:b ⇒ le rc</td>
<td>Lexical a is always realized as surface b, when conversion’s left side equals to le and the right side equals to rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a:b ⇒ le rc</td>
<td>Lexical a always and only realized as surface b, when conversion’s left side equals to le and the right side equals to rc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a:b /⇒ le rc</td>
<td>Lexical a is never realized as surface b when conversion’s left side equals to le and the right side equals to rc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tatar Alphabet

Tatar is written in Cyrillic alphabet. It is also written in unofficial Latin. In the past Tatars used Arabic script until the revolution in 1917. In this study we will use the following Latin Tatar alphabet consisting of 35 letters which 9 of is vowel given in Table 2.

Vowels are a,e,i,o,ö,ü,ı. Consonants are b,v,g,d,n,j,z,h,y,k,l,m,n,y,u,y,a,p,r,s,t,ı,x,ç,s,i,c,f,ñ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cyril</th>
<th>Latin</th>
<th>Cyril</th>
<th>Latin</th>
<th>Cyril</th>
<th>Latin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A a</td>
<td>A a</td>
<td>Y y</td>
<td>U ü</td>
<td>Ψ φ</td>
<td>F f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Θ ς</td>
<td>E e</td>
<td>Λ λ</td>
<td>L l</td>
<td>h h</td>
<td>H h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø ð</td>
<td>B b</td>
<td>M m</td>
<td>M m</td>
<td>X x</td>
<td>X x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V v</td>
<td>N n</td>
<td>Ο ο</td>
<td>O o</td>
<td>Ψ ψ</td>
<td>C c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Γ γ</td>
<td>K k</td>
<td>S s</td>
<td>S s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Tatar employs vowel harmony like other Turkic languages. Like other Turkic Languages Tatar has consonant softening, consolidation and harmony, assimilation, vowel conversion, vowel drop, vowel ephenesis, consonant duplication. Below are lexical meta morphemes used in two level rules:

Consonants: C = (y, b, k, f, v, l, h, g, m, d, n, c, s, j, p, c, z, r, s, h, t)

Vowels: V = (a, e, i, o, u, o)

Front Vowels: VF = (e, i, e, o, o)

Back Vowels: Vb = (a, i, o, u)

1. a : i $\Rightarrow$ __ + : 0 y

The lexical a at the end of a word is converted to i if the preceding affix starts with y.

Lexical: sayra+y
Surface: sayriyby
V(caw) VVI_TAORSH
sayriy (to be caw)(ötmek)

Lexical: sirla+ym
Surface: sirlayym
V(draw) VVI_TAORSH
sirliym (draw cavity lines)(oyuk çizgiler çizmek)

4. L:n $\Rightarrow$ M+ : 0 _Ar

The lexical L is converted to n, if the word ends with m, n or n, and the preceding affix is LAr

Lexical: ölen+LAr
Surface: ölenöner
N(grass)+NNI_PUL
ölenner (grasses)(olılar)

Lexical: urman+LAr
Surface: urmanönar
N(forest)+NNI_PUL
urmanlar (forests)(ormanlar)

8. p:b $\Rightarrow$ __ + : 0 V

The lexical p at the end of a morpheme is converted to b if the preceding affix starts with a vowel.

Lexical: üp+er
Surface: üböer
N(kiss)+ VVI_TAORSH
öper(kisses)

Lexical: küp+rAk
Surface: kübürek
N(more)+NNI_POS3S
kübürek(more than)(daın çök)

9. D:t $\Rightarrow$ [Ils][I][c][sh][p]+ : 0

If a word ending with f, s, t, k, ç, ş, h, or p is affixed with morpheme starting with D, then D is realized as t.

Lexical: yeş+DAş
Surface: yas
N(age)+ NN_DAS
The lexical A is converted to a if the preceding vowel is a back vowel to employ vowel harmony.

\[ A \Rightarrow C^*V_4C^*+0C^*_C^* \]

The lexical z at the end of a word is converted to s if the preceding affix’s first letter is s.

\[ z \Rightarrow s \]

The lexical r at the end of a word is dropped, if the preceding affix starts with g.

\[ r \Rightarrow g \]

**Tatar Mophotactics**

Two-level morphology \(^{96}\) have been applied to many languages. Tools to implement two-level morphology such as PC-KIMMO \(^{97}\) is publicly available. It was originally applied to describe finite state Finnish morphology by Koskenniemi. A detailed description with an application to English is given by Antworth \(^{98}\). Two-level or finite state model later was applied to many languages such as Japanese \(^{99}\), Korean \(^{100}\), Turkish \(^{101}\), Arabic \(^{102}\), Mongolian \(^{103}\). All these languages except Arabic are related linguistically. They are Altaic languages. Like Ural languages of Finnish and Hungarian they are agglutinative. To our knowledge, Qazan Tatar morphology is not defined before. There is a work on Crimean Tatar \(^{104}\).


\(^{98}\) Antworth, E.L., 1990, PC-KIMMO: A Two-level Processor of Morphological Analysis, Summer Institute of Linguistics, Dallas, TX.


\(^{102}\) Arabic Finite State Morphological Analysis and Generation, in COLING-96, Copenagen, pp. 89-94.


We describe Tatar morphology using finite state machines (FSM). A finite state machine, which in principal is a directed graph, consists of a set of states and a set of transitions among these states. Transitions are the edges of graph labeled with inflectional or derivational morphemes defining in what order those morphemes can be affixed to a word. The immediate states represent words and their part of speech tagging. The initial states represent the roots words from a lexicon and their part of speech such as noun, verb, adverb, adjective, etc. The final states represent words that cannot take any ore morphemes. We define the nominal, verbal and adverbial morphotactics of the language using this FSM model. In Figure 1 only a small portion of FSM is shown because of space limitation.

Conclusions

We described Tatar morphology using the two-level morphology model and finite state machines. A number of two level orthographic rules are created to handle the conversion from surface to lexical level of a word during morphological parsing. Finite state machines for representing nominal and verbal morphotactics are given for Tatar. The model is being implemented in Dilmaç machine translation system. We conducted extensive testing of nominal and verbal Tatar conjugations. Our final objective is to implement a morphologic machine translation system between Tatar and Turkish.
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